

Multiscale and Wavelet Methods for Operator Equations

(4) Adaptive wavelet schemes (I)

Wolfgang Dahmen

Institut für Geometrie und Praktische Mathematik
RWTH Aachen
Templergraben 55
52056 Aachen
Germany
e-mail: dahmen@igpm.rwth-aachen.de
WWW: <http://www.igpm.rwth-aachen.de/~dahmen/>

Adaptive Wavelet Schemes [CDD1, CDD2, DDU]

Goal: Adaptive tracking of the *significant* wavelet coefficients of $U = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{D}^{-1} \Psi$

$$\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\epsilon) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}(\epsilon)\|_{\ell_2} \leq \epsilon$$

with $\text{supp } \mathbf{U}(\epsilon)$ as small as possible

Main Theme: How to Extract Significant Information?

Explicitly given data:

images, signals, geometry

given functions

Implicitly given data:

PDEs, integral equations

solutions of operator eqs.

Nonlinear / Best N -Term – Approximation

practically feasible

idealized bench mark

for adaptive techniques

Adaptivity from Several Perspectives

- **Complexity Theory:** Adaptivity does **not** help! (Novak, Traub, Wozniakowski,...[N, TW])
- **Finite Elements:** Local mesh refinements – a-posteriori error estimators/indicators (Babuska/Rheinboldt, Bank et al, Verfürth, Johnson et al., Rannacher et al.,...Dörfler [Do, BR, BW, BEK, EEHJ])
- **Wavelet analysis:** Wavelet coefficients serve as error indicators (Averbuch/Beylkin/Coifman/Israeli, Bertoluzza/Maday, Canuto/Cravero, Dahlke/D./Hochmuth/Schneider,...[ABCI, Ber, BK, CM, DDHS, DHU])
No convergence rates/proofs ! d.o.f $\xleftarrow{\text{???}}$ error

Implicit Data – PDEs

- **Hyperbolic Problems:**

Multiresolution of **functionals** of the solution - compression - perturbation analysis

A. Harten, R. Abgral, F. Arrandiga, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, R. Donat, B. Lucier, O. Kaber, S. Müller, M. Postel, ...

- **Elliptic/Parabolic Systems:** Primal multiresolution – convergence/complexity analysis

Scope of problems:

- elliptic boundary value problems
- singular integral - boundary integral equations, transmission problems
- noncoercive problems: saddle point problems (Stokes), multiple field problems

Main Issues

- **Work/Accuracy Balance:**

A-priori estimates for quasi-uniform meshes:

$$\|u - u_h\|_{H^t} \lesssim h^\alpha \|u\|_{H^{t+\alpha}} = \epsilon \sim N^{-\alpha/d} \quad N = \epsilon^{-d/\alpha}$$

Adaptive/nonlinear schemes: d.o.f/work N $\xleftarrow{\text{???}}$ accuracy ϵ

$$u \in B^{t+\alpha} \implies \|u - u(\epsilon)\|_{H^t} \leq \epsilon, \quad N = \epsilon^{-d/\alpha}$$

- **Stabilizing effect No LBB condition!**

Classical Approach

- Variational formulation → discretization → finite dimensional problem → fast solvers
- Obstructions: Large systems, ill-conditioning, compatibility constraints (like LBB condition)

New Paradigm [CDD2]

- (I) Variational formulation → mapping property
- (II) Transformation into equivalent ∞ - dimensional well-posed ℓ_2 problem
- (III) Convergent iteration for the ∞ - dimensional ℓ_2 -problem
- (IV) Adaptive application of operators

(III) Convergent Iteration for the ∞ -Dimensional Problem

Objective:

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F} \iff \mathbf{M}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{G}$$

s.t. still

$$c_M \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2} \leq C_M \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{p}^{n+1} = \mathbf{p}^n + \omega(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{p}^n), \quad \|\mathbf{I} - \omega\mathbf{M}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \leq \rho < 1$$

Choice of \mathbf{M}

- Wavelet least squares formulation: (A. Cohen, R. DeVore, A. Kunoth, R. Schneider, W.D., [CDD2, DKS])

$$\mathbf{M} := \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}, \quad \mathbf{G} := \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{U}$$

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G} \iff \|\mathcal{L}U - F\|_{\mathcal{H}'} = \min_{V \in \mathcal{H}} \|\mathcal{L}V - F\|_{\mathcal{H}'}$$

- Saddle point problems: ([DDU])

\mathbf{M} = Schur complement

...recall: in the saddle point case: $\mathcal{H} = X \times M$

$$X \leftrightarrow \Psi_X \quad M \leftrightarrow \Psi_M$$

$$c_X \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_X)} \leq \|\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{D}_X^{-1} \Psi_X\|_X \leq C_X \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_X)},$$

$$c_M \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M)} \leq \|\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{D}_M^{-1} \Psi_M\|_M \leq C_M \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M)},$$

Stokes: $\leadsto D_{X,\lambda} = 2^{|\lambda|}, \quad D_{M,\lambda} = 1$

Saddle Point Problem Continued

$$\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{D}_X^{-1} a(\Psi_X, \Psi_X) \mathbf{D}_X^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{B} := \mathbf{D}_M^{-1} b(\Psi_M, \Psi_X) \mathbf{D}_X^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{f} := \mathbf{D}_X^{-1} \langle \Psi_X, f \rangle_X, \quad \mathbf{g} := \mathbf{D}_M^{-1} \langle \Psi_M, g \rangle_M, \quad \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F} \iff \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^T \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{L}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{U}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{F}} \quad \rightsquigarrow$$

$$c_L \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{V}\|_{\ell_2} \leq C_L \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\ell_2}, \quad \mathbf{V} \in \ell_2$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{B}^T \\ \mathbf{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{L}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{U}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{F}} \iff \begin{cases} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{p} := \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g} =: \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{p} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{M} := \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^T : \ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M), \quad \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M)} \sim \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{p} + \omega ((\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{p}) \\ &= \mathbf{p} + \omega \left(\mathbf{B} \underbrace{\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{p})}_{=\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{g} \right) = \mathbf{p} + \omega(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}) \quad \rightsquigarrow \\ &\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{p}^{n+1} = \mathbf{p}^n + \omega(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{g}), \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^n = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{p}^n \end{aligned}$$

(IV) Approximate Iteration

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G} \quad \leadsto \quad \mathbf{U}^{n+1} = \mathbf{U}^n + \omega(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}^n)$$

The Basic Routines: Need

RHS $[\eta, \mathbf{G}] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_\eta$: s.t. $\|\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}_\eta\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$

APPLY $[\eta, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{V}] \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_\eta$: s.t. $\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{W}_\eta\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$

COARSE $[\eta, \mathbf{W}] \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{W}}_\eta$: s.t. $\|\mathbf{W} - \bar{\mathbf{W}}_\eta\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$

The Adaptive Algorithm

SOLVE [ϵ , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{G}] $\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{U}}(\epsilon)$

(i) Set $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\epsilon_0 := c_M^{-1} \|\mathbf{G}\|_{\ell_2}$, $j = 0$

(ii) If $\epsilon_j \leq \epsilon$, stop $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^j \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\epsilon)$. Else $\mathbf{V}^0 := \bar{\mathbf{U}}^j$.

(ii.1) **RHS** [$\rho^l \epsilon_j$, \mathbf{G}] $\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_l$; **APPLY** [$\rho^l \epsilon_j$, \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{V}^l] $\rightarrow \mathbf{W}^l$, $l = 0, \dots, K - 1$

$$\mathbf{V}^{l+1} := \mathbf{V}^l + \alpha(\mathbf{G}_l - \mathbf{W}^l)$$

(ii.2) **COARSE** [$\mathbf{V}^K, 2\epsilon_j/5$] $\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{j+1}$, $\epsilon_{j+1} := \epsilon_j/2$, $j + 1 \rightarrow j$ go to (ii).

PROPOSITION: $\|\mathbf{U} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}^j\|_{\ell_2} \leq \epsilon_j$, **Question:** $\#\text{supp } \bar{\mathbf{U}}(\epsilon) \xleftarrow{-} \xrightarrow{?} \epsilon$

Ideal Bench Mark - Best N -Term Approximation

$$\sigma_{N,\ell_2}(\mathbf{V}) := \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}_N\|_{\ell_2} = \min_{\#\text{supp } \mathbf{W} \leq N} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{W}\|_{\ell_2}$$

$$(\mathbf{NE}) \implies \sigma_{N,\ell_2}(\mathbf{V}) \sim \inf_{\mathbf{W}, \#\text{supp } \mathbf{W} \leq N} \|V - \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{D}^{-1} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Coarsening and best N -term approximation:

Let $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta/5$ with $\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w} < \infty$, $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta} := \mathbf{COARSE}[\mathbf{w}, 4\eta/5]$.

- $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2} \lesssim N^{-s} \stackrel{[\text{CDD1}]}{\implies} \#\text{supp } \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta} \lesssim \eta^{-1/s}, \quad \|\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$

Compressible Matrices

Def.: $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{s^*}$ if for any $0 < s < s^*$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a matrix \mathbf{C}_j obtained by replacing all but the order of $\alpha_j 2^j$ ($\sum_j \alpha_j < \infty$) entries per row and column in \mathbf{C} by zero while still

$$\|\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}_j\| \leq \alpha_j 2^{-js}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sum_j \alpha_j < \infty$$

(Cancellation Properties) \implies

Remark: The scaled wavelet representations $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}c(\Psi, \Psi)\mathbf{D}^{-1}$ of “all” differential and singular integral operators belong to \mathcal{C}_{s^*} for some $s^* = s^*(\mathcal{L}, \Psi) > 0$.

Fast approximate matrix/vector multiplication [CDD1]

Define $\mathbf{v}_{[j]} := \mathbf{v}_{2^j}$, $v_{[-1]} := 0$ and

$$\mathbf{w}_j := \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{v}_{[0]} + \mathbf{A}_{j-1}(\mathbf{v}_{[1]} - \mathbf{v}_{[0]}) + \cdots + \mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{v}_{[j]} - \mathbf{v}_{[j-1]}) \quad (1)$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_j\|_{\ell_2} \leq c \underbrace{\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_{[j]}\|_{\ell_2}}_{\sigma_{2^j, \ell_2}(\mathbf{v})} + \sum_{l=0}^j \alpha_l 2^{-ls} \underbrace{\|\mathbf{v}_{[j-l]} - \mathbf{v}_{[j-l-1]}\|_{\ell_2}}_{\lesssim \sigma_{2^{j-l-1}, \ell_2}(\mathbf{v})} \rightsquigarrow$$

MULT [$\eta, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{v}$] $\rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\eta$ s.t.: $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_\eta\| \leq \eta$

Theorem: If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{s^*}$ and $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_N\|_{\ell_2} \lesssim N^{-s}$, then

$$\#\text{supp } \mathbf{w}_\eta, \quad \#\text{flops} \lesssim \eta^{-1/s}, \quad (\#\text{sort ops} \lesssim \eta^{-1/s} |\log \eta|)$$

APPLY [η , M, V], **RHS** [η , G]

Composition of **COARSE** [$c\eta$, W], **MULT** [$c\eta$, A, V] or the adaptive solution of an elliptic problem with accuracy $c\eta$.

Least squares: $M = L^T L$, $G = L^T F$

RHS_{ls} [η , G] := **MULT** $\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, L^T, \text{COARSE} \left[\frac{\eta}{2C_L}, F \right] \right]$

APPLY_{ls} [η , M, V] := **MULT** $\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, L^T, \text{MULT} \left[\frac{\eta}{2C_L}, L, V \right] \right]$

Application through Uzawa Iteration [DDU]

IDEAL UZAWA: Given any $\mathbf{p}_0 \in \ell_2(\mathcal{J}_M)$, compute for $i = 1, 2, \dots$

$$A\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{p}_{i-1}, \quad (\text{set } \mathbf{R} := \langle \tilde{\Psi}_M, \tilde{\Psi}_M \rangle_M)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{p}_{i-1} + \omega \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{g}), \quad \|\mathbf{I} - \omega \mathbf{R}\mathbf{S}\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} \leq \alpha < 1$$

REAL UZAWA: Apply each step approximately

Ingredients:

- **MULT** [η , C, v] \rightarrow w $_{\eta}$, s.t. $\|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}_{\eta}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$ ($\mathbf{C} \in \{A, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}^T\}$)
- **ELLSOLVE** [η , A, r] \rightarrow $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\eta)$, s.t. $\|\mathbf{u} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\eta)\|_{\ell_2(\mathcal{J}_X)} \leq \eta$
- **COARSE** [η , v] \rightarrow v $_{\eta}$, s.t. $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_{\eta}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta$

\mathbf{M} = Schur complement: \rightsquigarrow

APPLY _{Uz} $[\eta, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{q}]$ consists of finitely many (uniformly bounded) applications of approximate Uzawa iterations [DDU]

Complexity of **APPLY** $\in \{\text{MULT}, \text{APPLY}_{ls}, \text{APPLY}_{Uz}\}$?

Main Result - Convergence/Complexity [CDD2]

Theorem: Assume that $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{C}_{s^*}$. Then if the exact solution $U = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{D}^{-1} \Psi$ satisfies for some $s < s^*$

$$\inf_{\#\text{supp } \mathbf{V} \leq N} \|U - \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{D}^{-1} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim N^{-s}$$

then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the approximations $\bar{\mathbf{U}}(\epsilon)$ produced by **SOLVE** satisfy

$$\|U - \bar{\mathbf{U}}(\epsilon)^T \mathbf{D}^{-1} \Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

and

$$\#\text{supp } \bar{\mathbf{U}}(\epsilon), \text{ comp. work} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1/s}.$$

- No LBB condition

References

- [ABC1] A. Averbuch, G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, and M. Israeli, Multiscale inversion of elliptic operators, in: Signal and Image Representation in Combined Spaces, J. Zeevi, R. Coifman (eds.), Academic Press, 1995,
- [BR] I. Babuška and W.C. Rheinboldt, Error estimates for adaptive finite element computations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **15** (1978), 736–754.
- [BW] R.E. Bank and A. Weiser, Some a posteriori error estimates for elliptic partial differential equations, Math. Comp., **44** (1985), 283–301.
- [Ber] S. Bertoluzza, A-posteriori error estimates for wavelet Galerkin methods, Appl Math. Lett., **8** (1995), 1–6.
- [BK] G. Beylkin, J. M. Keiser, An adaptive pseudo-wavelet approach for solving nonlinear partial differential equations, in: *Multiscale Wavelet Methods for PDEs*, W. Dahmen, A. J. Kurdila, P. Oswald (eds.) Academic Press, 1997, 137–197.
- [BEK] F. Bornemann, B. Erdmann, and R. Kornhuber, A posteriori error estimates for elliptic problems in two and three space dimensions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **33** (1996), 1188–1204.
- [CDD1] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, Adaptive wavelet methods for elliptic operator equations – Convergence rates, Math. Comp. 70 (2001), 27–75.
- [CDD2] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, Adaptive wavelet methods II - Beyond the elliptic case, IGPM Report, RWTH Aachen, Nov. 2000.
- [CM] A. Cohen, R. Masson, Wavelet adaptive methods for second order elliptic problems, boundary conditions and domain decomposition, Preprint 1997.
- [DDHS] S. Dahlke, W. Dahmen, R. Hochmuth, R. Schneider, *Stable multiscale bases and local error estimation for elliptic problems*, Appl. Numer. Maths. 8, 1997, 21–47.
- [DDU] S. Dahlke, W. Dahmen, K. Urban, Adaptive wavelet methods for saddle point problems – Convergence rates, IGPM Report # 204, RWTH Aachen, July 2001.
- [DHU] S. Dahlke, R. Hochmuth, K. Urban, Adaptive wavelet methods for saddle point problems, Math. Model. Numer. Anal. (M2AN) 34(5) (2000), 1003–1022.

- [DKS] W. Dahmen, A. Kunoth, R. Schneider, Wavelet least squares methods for boundary value problems, IGPM Report # 175, RWTH Aachen, Sep. 1999, to appear in SIAM J. Numer. Anal.
- [Do] W. Dörfler, A convergent adaptive algorithm for Poisson's equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **33** (1996), 1106–1124.
- [EEHJ] K. Eriksson, D. Estep, P. Hansbo, and C. Johnson, Introduction to adaptive methods for differential equations, Acta Numerica **4**, Cambridge University Press, (1995), 105–158.
- [N] E. Novak, On the power of adaptation, J. Complexity, **12**(1996), 199–237.
- [TW] J. Traub and H. Woźniakowski, *A General Theory of Optimal Algorithms*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.